

# Recent developments on the number of $(\leq k)$ -sets, halving lines, and the rectilinear crossing number of $K_n$ .

Bernardo M. Ábrego <sup>\*</sup>      Silvia Fernández-Merchant <sup>\*</sup>      Jesús Leaños <sup>†</sup>  
 Gelasio Salazar <sup>†</sup>

## Resumen

We present the latest developments on the number of  $(\leq k)$ -sets and halving lines for (generalized) configurations of points; as well as the rectilinear and pseudolinear crossing numbers of  $K_n$ . In particular, we define *perfect* generalized configurations on  $n$  points as those whose number of  $(\leq k)$ -sets is exactly  $3\binom{k+1}{2}$  for all  $k \leq n/3$ . We conjecture that for each  $n$  there is a perfect configuration attaining the maximum number of  $(\leq k)$ -sets and the pseudolinear crossing number of  $K_n$ . We prove that for any  $k \leq n/2$  the number of  $(\leq k)$ -sets is at least  $3\binom{k+1}{2} + 3\binom{k-\lfloor n/3 \rfloor + 1}{2} + 18\binom{k-\lceil 4n/9 \rceil + 1}{2} - O(n)$ . This in turn implies that the pseudolinear (and consequently the rectilinear) crossing number of any perfect generalized configuration on  $n$  points is at least  $\frac{277}{729}\binom{n}{4} + O(n^3) \geq 0.379972\binom{n}{4} + O(n^3)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $P$  be a set of  $n$  points in general position in the plane. A subset of  $P$  consisting of  $k \leq n/2$  points is called a  $k$ -set if it can be separated by the rest of  $P$  by a straight line. Any  $j$ -set with  $j \leq k$  is called a  $\leq k$ -set. We denote by  $\chi_k(P)$  and  $\chi_{\leq k}(P)$  the number of  $k$ -sets and  $\leq k$ -sets of  $P$ , respectively. The number of edge crossings in the drawing of the complete graph  $K_n$  whose set of vertices is  $P$  and whose edges are straight line segments is denoted by  $\overline{cr}(P)$ . This is called the *rectilinear crossing number* of  $P$ . An edge in such a graph is called a  $k$ -edge if it leaves exactly  $k$  points of  $P$  on one side. When  $n$  is even the  $(n/2 - 1)$ -edges are known as *halving lines*, since they divide the remaining  $n - 2$  points of  $P$  in half. When  $n$  is odd the  $(n - 3)/2$ -edges are also called halving lines since they divide  $P$  almost in half. As before, any  $j$ -edge with  $j \leq k$  is called a  $\leq k$ -edge. Let  $\eta_k(P)$  and  $\eta_{\leq k}(P)$  be the number of  $k$ -edges and  $\leq k$ -edges of  $P$ , respectively, and  $h(P) = \eta_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}$  the number of halving lines of  $P$ .

The problems of finding the minimum number of  $\leq k$ -sets or  $\leq k$ -edges, the maximum number of halving lines, and the minimum crossing number of  $P$  over all configurations  $P$  of  $n$  points in the plane have been widely studied [8]. In other words, we want to estimate the values of

$$\chi_{\leq k}(n) = \min_{|P|=n} \chi_{\leq k}(P), \eta_{\leq k}(n) = \min_{|P|=n} \eta_{\leq k}(P), h(n) = \max_{|P|=n} h(P), \overline{cr}(n) = \min_{|P|=n} \overline{cr}(P)$$

where the minima and maximum are taken over all sets  $P$  of  $n$  points in the plane. The last function  $\overline{cr}(n)$  is known as the *rectilinear crossing number* of  $K_n$ .

All these problems are closely related. Note that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of  $k$ -sets and the set of  $(k - 1)$ -edges of  $P$ , i.e.,  $\chi_k(P) = \eta_{k-1}(P)$ , and thus  $\chi_{\leq k}(n) = \eta_{\leq k-1}(n)$ . Since all  $\binom{n}{2}$  edges associated with  $P$  are either  $(\leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2)$ -edges or halving lines then

$$h(n) = \binom{n}{2} - \eta_{\leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2}(n) = \binom{n}{2} - \chi_{\leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}(n).$$

<sup>\*</sup>California State University, Northridge, {bernardo.abrego,silvia.fernandez}@csun.edu

<sup>†</sup>Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, {jelema,gsalazar}@ifisica.uaslp.mx

Ábrego and Fernández-Merchant [5] and independently Lovász et al. [11], proved the following relationship between the crossing number and the number of  $k$ -edges:

$$cr(P) = 3 \binom{n}{4} - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (k-1)(n-k-1) \chi_k(P), \text{ or equivalently}$$

$$cr(P) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} (n-2k-1) \chi_{\leq k}(P) - \frac{3}{4} \binom{n}{3} + \left(1 + (-1)^{n+1}\right) \frac{1}{8} \binom{n}{2}. \quad (1)$$

All these concepts and results can be extended to *generalized configurations of points*. A set  $P$  of  $n$  points in the plane can be encoded by a *circular sequence*  $\Pi$  (see below) as follows: Label the points of  $P$  from 1 to  $n$ . Draw a circle containing  $P$  together with a directed tangent line  $l$ . Project  $P$  onto  $l$  to obtain an ordering of  $P$ , this corresponds to a permutation of the elements of  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . Rotate  $l$  around the circle (in both directions) and record all permutations. As a result we obtain a doubly-infinite sequence of permutations of the elements of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with period  $2 \binom{n}{2}$ .

In general, a *circular sequence* is a doubly infinite sequence  $(\dots, \pi_{-1}, \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots)$  of permutations on  $n$  elements, such that any two consecutive permutations  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi_{i+1}$  differ by a transposition  $\tau_i$  of neighboring elements, and such that for every  $j$ ,  $\pi_j$  is the reversed permutation of  $\pi_{j+\binom{n}{2}}$ . Circular sequences were introduced by Goodman and Pollack [10], [9] who established a one-to-one correspondence between circular sequences and generalized configurations of points, that is, configurations of  $\binom{n}{2}$  pseudolines and  $n$  points where each pseudoline passes through exactly two points and two pseudolines intersect exactly once. When all the pseudolines can be straight lines the generalized configuration is called *stretchable* and it corresponds to a configuration of points in the plane. Thus every configuration of points in the plane corresponds to a circular sequence but only stretchable circular sequences correspond to sets of points in the plane. Any subsequence of  $\Pi$  consisting of  $\binom{n}{2}$  consecutive permutations is called a *halfperiod*. If  $\tau_j$  occurs between elements in positions  $i$  and  $i+1$  we say that  $\tau_j$  is an  *$i$ -transposition*. If  $i \leq n/2$  then any  $i$ -transposition or  $(n-i)$ -transposition is called  *$i$ -critical*. The  $k$ -sets of  $\Pi$  are precisely the subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of size  $k$  that occupy the first or last  $k$  positions in a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . (These  $k$ -sets coincide with those defined for configurations of points when  $\Pi$  is stretchable.) The set of  $k$ -sets of  $\Pi$  is then determined by the set of  $k$ -critical transpositions in a halfperiod of  $\Pi$ . In fact a  $k$ -critical transposition is a  $(k-1)$ -pseudoeedge. Thus  $\chi_k(\Pi)$  and  $\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi)$  are the number of  $k$ -critical, and respectively  $(\leq k)$ -critical, transpositions in any halfperiod of  $\Pi$  and (1) still holds. So now we can define  $\chi_{\leq k}(n)$ ,  $\eta_{\leq k}(n)$ ,  $\tilde{h}(n)$ , and  $\tilde{c}r(n)$  by optimizing over all *generalized* configurations of  $n$  points.

## 2 Summary of recent results

By the end of 2006 the exact values of  $h(n)$ ,  $\tilde{h}(n)$ ,  $\overline{c}r(n)$ , and  $\tilde{c}r(n)$  were only known for  $n \leq 19$  and  $n = 21$ , except for  $\tilde{h}(14)$  and  $\tilde{h}(16)$ . We have managed to obtain the exact values for  $n \leq 27$ .

$n$	14	16	18	20	22	23	24	25	26	27
$h(n) = \tilde{h}(n)$	22*	27	33	38	44	75	51	85	57	96
$\overline{c}r(n) = \tilde{c}r(n)$	324*	603*	1029*	1657	2528	3077	3699	4430	5250	6180

\* Previously known values for the geometric case.

This improvement was an application of the following theorem that concentrates on the central behavior of circular sequences:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\Pi$  be a circular sequence associated to a generalized configuration of  $n$  points. Then*

$$\chi_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(\Pi) \leq \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2}(\Pi) \rfloor & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \lfloor \frac{2}{3} \binom{n}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \chi_{\leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2}(\Pi) + \frac{1}{3} \rfloor & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In terms of general bounds, Ábrego and Fernández-Merchant [4] proved the following upper bound for  $\overline{cr}(n)$ , and therefore for  $\tilde{cr}(n)$ . Let  $P$  be a set of  $N$  points in the plane and  $H$  its set of halving lines. Consider the bipartite graph  $G = (P, H)$  where  $p \in P$  is adjacent to  $l \in H$  if  $p$  is on  $l$ . A matching of  $G$  saturating  $P$  is called a *halving-line matching* of  $P$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $P$  is a  $N$ -element point set in general position, with  $N$  even, and  $P$  has a halving-line matching; then*

$$\tilde{cr}(n) \leq \overline{cr}(n) \leq \left( \frac{24 \text{cr}(P) + 3N^3 - 7N^2 + (30/7)N}{N^4} \right) \binom{n}{4} + \Theta(n^3).$$

The best upper bound based on this result was obtained using the best known construction for  $N = 90$  [6],

$$\overline{cr}(n) \leq 0.380548 \binom{n}{4} + \Theta(n^3).$$

On the other hand, Ábrego and Fernández-Merchant [5] and independently Lovász et al. [11], improved the previously known lower bound for  $\chi_{\leq k}(n)$  to

$$\chi_{\leq k}(n) \geq 3 \binom{k+1}{2}. \quad (2)$$

This bound was improved, by Aichholzer et al. [7] in the rectilinear case and generalized to the pseudolinear case by Ábrego et al. [2], to

$$\chi_{\leq k}(n) \geq 3 \binom{k+1}{2} + 3 \binom{k - \lfloor n/3 \rfloor + 1}{2} + O(n). \quad (3)$$

As a consequence, using (1), the best known lower bound for the rectilinear and pseudolinear crossing numbers satisfies

$$\overline{cr}(n) \geq \tilde{cr}(n) \geq 0.37968 \binom{n}{4} + O(n^3).$$

It is known that (2) is tight for  $k \leq n/3$  and moreover, Ábrego et al. [3] proved the following

**Theorem 2.3.** *If a generalized configuration of  $n$  points  $\Pi$  attains  $\tilde{cr}(n)$  and  $\chi_{\leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor}(\Pi) = 3 \binom{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor + 1}{2}$  then  $\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi) = 3 \binom{k+1}{2}$  for all  $k \leq n/3$ .*

A configuration  $\Pi$  that satisfies  $\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi) = 3 \binom{k+1}{2}$  for all  $k \leq n/3$  is called *perfect*. We say that a configuration of  $n$  points achieving  $\tilde{cr}(n)$  is *crossing optimal*. We believe that

**Conjecture 2.4.** *If  $\Pi$  is crossing optimal then it is perfect.*

The following weaker version of this conjecture would still lead to general lower bound improvements using Theorem 2.6.

**Conjecture 2.5.** *For any  $n$  there is a crossing optimal configuration that is perfect.*

Here we improve the lower bound for  $\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi)$  and therefore for the pseudolinear crossing number for perfect configurations.

**Theorem 2.6.** *If  $\Pi$  is a perfect generalized configuration of  $n$  points then for all  $k \leq n/2$ ,*

$$\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi) \geq 3 \binom{k+1}{2} + 3 \binom{k - \lfloor n/3 \rfloor + 1}{2} + 18 \binom{k - \lfloor 4n/9 \rfloor + 1}{2} + O(n) \quad (4)$$

In fact we prove a stronger result. A point that belongs to a  $k$ -set but not to a  $\leq (k-1)$ -set is said to be in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  layer of  $\Pi$ . Let  $L_k$  denote the  $k^{\text{th}}$ -layer of  $\Pi$ . We say that  $\Pi$  is *3-regular* if there are exactly 3 points in  $L_k$  for all  $k \leq n/3$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $\Pi$  is perfect then  $\Pi$  is 3-regular.*

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $\Pi$  is a 3-regular generalized configuration of  $n$  points and  $18 \mid n$  then*

$$\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi) \geq 3 \binom{k+1}{2} + 3 \binom{k-n/3+1}{2} + 18 \binom{k-4n/9+1}{2} + \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } k \geq 4n/9 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The previous two theorems imply (4). Also (4) and (1) imply that the pseudolinear, and consequently the rectilinear crossing number of any *perfect* configuration on  $n$  points is  $\geq \frac{277}{729} \binom{n}{4} + O(n^3) \geq 0.379972 \binom{n}{4} + O(n^3)$ .

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2.8

For each  $1 \leq p \leq n$  let  $L(p)$  be the smallest *position* of  $p$  in a permutation of  $\Pi$ . Then for  $k \leq n/2$ ,  $L_k = \{p \in P : L(p) = k\}$ . Note that  $P$  is the disjoint union of its *layers* (some may be empty). Let  $l_i = |L_i|$  and consider the partial sums  $s_k = l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_k$ . Then  $n \geq s_k \geq 2k + 1$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n/2$  since the first and last  $k$  elements in any term of  $\Pi$  belong to  $L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_k$  and at least one more element must enter this region. In particular  $s_1 = l_1 \geq 3$  and  $s_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} = n$ .

For each point  $p \in P$  we follow the transpositions of  $p$  in a fixed halfperiod. The transposition  $\{p, q\}$  may have a different role when following  $p$  that when following  $q$ . Thus we use ordered pairs. We say that  $(q, p)$  is a *transposition of  $p$* .

Let  $p \in P$  and fix a halfperiod  $\pi(p)$  satisfying that if  $p \in L_i$  then the first row of  $\pi(p)$  shows  $p$  in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  position. This naturally orders the  $n - 1$  transpositions of  $p$  according to the order in which they occur in  $\pi(p)$ . Following this order, we say that a transposition of  $p$  is a *forth-transposition* if  $p$  moves to a larger position (from left to right) in  $\pi(p)$  and a *back-transposition* otherwise. The first  $j$ -forth-transposition of  $p$  is called  *$j$ -primary*. A pair formed by a  $j$ -back-transposition of  $p$  and the next  $j$ -transposition of  $p$  (which must be a nonprimary forth-transposition) is called a  *$j$ -secondary pair* of  $p$ . Then for  $j \leq n/2$  we can say that a  $j$ - or  $(n - j)$ -secondary pair is a  *$j$ -critical pair*.

For  $p_1 \in P$ , we write  $(p_0, p_1) \rightarrow (p_1, p_2)$  if  $\{(p_0, p_1), (p_2, p_1)\}$  is a secondary pair of  $p_1$  with back-transposition  $(p_2, p_1)$ . If  $p_1 \in L_i$  then  $p_1$  moves from position  $i$  to position  $n + 1 - i$  in  $\pi(p_1)$ . Thus there is exactly one  $j$ -primary transposition of  $p_1$  for all  $i \leq j \leq n - i$ . Moreover,  $(p, p_1)$  is a back-transposition only if the first row of  $\pi(p_1)$  shows  $p$  in one of the first  $i - 1$  positions. This means that there are exactly  $i - 1$  secondary pairs of  $p_1$  and if  $(p_0, p_1) \rightarrow (p_1, p_2)$  with  $p_2 \in L_j$  then  $j < i$ . Thus  $(p_1, p_2)$  must be a forth-transposition of  $p_2$ . If  $p_1 \in L_i, p_r \in L_j$ , and

$$(p_0, p_1) \rightarrow (p_1, p_2) \rightarrow (p_2, p_3) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (p_{r-1}, p_r) \quad (6)$$

then we say that  $(p_0, p_1)$  *goes from  $L_i$  to  $L_j$  in  $r$  steps*. Note that if  $r$  is as large as possible then  $(p_{r-1}, p_r)$  is a  $k$ -primary transposition of  $p_r$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq n/2$  and all the transpositions in (6) are  $k$ -critical. In this case we say that the forth-transposition  $(p_0, p_1)$  has *rank  $r$*  and write  $\text{rank}(p_0, p_1) = r$ . Then all primary transpositions have rank 1. The *rank of a secondary pair* is the rank of its forth-transposition. Let

$$\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, r) = \#(\leq k)\text{-critical rank } r \text{ transpositions of } \Pi.$$

Then  $\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 1) = \#(\leq k)\text{-critical primary transposition}$  and since each forth-transposition of rank  $\geq 2$  belongs to a secondary pair then  $\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi)$  can be expressed in terms of its forth-transpositions.

$$2\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi) = \chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 1) + 2 \sum_{r=2}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, r). \quad (7)$$

Based on the fact that all transpositions in (6) occur in the same position, we keep track of the forth-transpositions using the following notation. For  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n/2$  and  $1 \leq r \leq i - j + 1$  let

$F_r(i, j)$  be the set of forth-transpositions that go from  $L_i$  to  $L_j$  in  $r$  steps, and  $M_r(i, j)$  the set of those elements in  $F_r(i, j)$  with rank  $r$ . If  $I$  is a set of indices then

$$F_r(I, j) = \bigcup_{i \in I} F_r(i, j) \text{ and } M_r(I, j) = \bigcup_{i \in I} M_r(i, j).$$

Let  $I_j = \{j, j+1, j+2, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For all  $1 \leq r \leq n/2$

$$\chi_k(\Pi, r) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} \max(|M_r(I_j, j)| - l_j(n-1-2k), 0).$$

*Proof.* By definition, if  $(p_0, p_1) \in M_r(I_j, j)$  and  $(p_0, p_1) \rightarrow (p_1, p_2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (p_{r-1}, p_r)$  then  $(p_{r-1}, p_r)$  is a primary transposition of  $L_j$ . This means that the number of  $h$ -critical transpositions in  $M_r(I_j, j)$  is bounded above by the number of  $h$ -critical primary transpositions of  $L_j$ . Now, for each  $p \in L_j$  and  $j \leq h \leq n/2$  we have exactly one  $h$ -primary and one  $(n-h)$ -primary transposition of  $p$ , both of them are  $h$ -critical. Then there are  $l_j$  transpositions of  $L_j$  that are  $h$ -primary and  $l_j$  that are  $(n-h)$ -primary. Thus at most  $l_j(n-1-2k)$  elements of  $M_r(I_j, j)$  are not  $(\leq k)$ -critical.  $\square$

*Proof.* (Theorem 2.8) Since  $\Pi$  is 3-regular then  $l_j = 3$  and  $s_j = 3j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n/3$ . If  $j > n/3$  then  $l_j = 0$  and  $s_j = n$ .

If  $k < 4n/9$  then (5) coincides with (3). For  $k \geq 4n/9$  we bound  $\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 1) + \chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 2) + \chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 3)$  below. The number of  $k$ -critical primary transpositions of  $\Pi$  is  $2(l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_k) = 2s_k$  then

$$\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 1) \geq 2 \sum_{j=1}^k s_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n/3} 3j + \sum_{j=n/3+1}^k n = 3 \binom{n/3+1}{2} + n(k - n/3). \quad (8)$$

By Lemma 3.1 applied to  $r = 2$  and  $r = 3$  (disregard the maximum and note that  $2k - 8n/9 + 1 \leq 2k - 7n/9 + 1 \leq n/2 - 1$ )

$$\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 2) + \chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 3) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{2k-7n/9+1} |M_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{j=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} |M_3(I_j, j)| - 3(n-1-2k)(4k-5n/3+2). \quad (9)$$

Since there are exactly  $3(j-1)$  secondary pairs of  $L_j$ , at most  $3(j-1)$  transpositions in  $F_3(I_j, j)$  continue to another layer after passing through  $L_j$ . This means

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} |M_3(I_j, j)| \geq \sum_{j=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} (|F_3(I_j, j)| - 3(j-1)) = \sum_{j=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} |F_3(I_j, j)| - \sum_{j=1}^{2k-8n/9} 3j \quad (10)$$

The transpositions that go to  $L_i$  in 3 steps,  $F_3(I_i, i)$ , can be partitioned into the sets  $F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)$  with  $i+1 \leq j \leq n/2$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} |F_3(I_i, i)| &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n/2} |F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2k-7n/9+1} |M_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{j=2}^{2k-8n/9+2} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)| \\ &+ \sum_{j=2k-8n/9+3}^{n/2} \sum_{i=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} |F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{2k-7n/9+1} |M_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{i=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} |F_3(I_i, i)| \\
& \geq |M_2(I_2, 1)| + \sum_{j=2}^{2k-8n/9+2} \left( |M_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)| \right) \\
& + \sum_{j=2k-8n/9+3}^{2k-7n/9+1} \left( |M_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{i=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} |F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)| \right) \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

For fixed  $j$  note that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)$  consists of those transpositions of rank  $\geq 3$  that first go to  $L_j$  and then continue to some  $L_i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq j-1$ . Then

$$|M_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)| = |F_2(I_j, j)|. \tag{12}$$

If  $h \leq j-2$  there are at most  $3(j-1-h)$  transpositions that first go to  $L_j$  and then to one of the  $j-1-h$  layers  $L_{h+1}, L_{h+2}, \dots, L_{j-2}, L_{j-1}$  and all these transpositions are in  $F_2(I_j, j)$ . Then

$$|M_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{i=1}^h |F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)| \geq |F_2(I_j, j)| - 3(j-1-h).$$

In particular, for  $j \geq 2k-8n/9+3$  and  $h = 2k-8n/9+1$  we have

$$|M_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{i=1}^{2k-8n/9+1} |F_2(I_j, j) \cap F_3(I_j, i)| \geq |F_2(I_j, j)| + 6(k-4n/9+1) - 3j. \tag{13}$$

Using (12), (13), and  $M_2(I_2, 1) = F_2(I_2, 1)$  we bound (11) below

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{2k-8n/9+2} |F_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{j=2k-8n/9+3}^{2k-7n/9+1} (|F_2(I_j, j)| + 6(k-4n/9+1) - 3j) \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^{2k-7n/9+1} |F_2(I_j, j)| + \sum_{j=2k-8n/9+3}^{2k-7n/9+1} (6(k-4n/9+1) - 3j). \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

Each point  $p \in L_{h+1} \cup L_{h+2} \cup \dots \cup L_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  has at least  $h$  back-transpositions  $(q, p)$  with  $q \in L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_h$  and each point  $p \in L_j, 1 \leq j \leq h$ , has at least  $j-1$  back-transpositions  $(q, p)$  with  $q \in L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_h$ . Thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^h |F_2(I_j, j)| \geq h(l_{h+1} + \dots + l_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}) + \sum_{j=1}^h (j-1)l_j = \sum_{j=1}^h (l_{j+1} + \dots + l_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}) = \sum_{j=1}^h (n - s_j). \tag{15}$$

Finally, (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (14), and (15) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\leq k}(\Pi) & \geq \chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 1) + \chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 2) + \chi_{\leq k}(\Pi, 3) \geq 3 \binom{n/3+1}{2} + n(k-n/3) + \sum_{j=1}^{2k-7n/9+1} (n-3j) \\
& + \sum_{j=2k-8n/9+3}^{2k-7n/9+1} (6(k-4n/9+1) - 3j) - \sum_{j=1}^{2k-8n/9} 3j - 3(n-1-2k)(4k-5n/3+2) \\
& = 3 \binom{k+1}{2} + 3 \binom{k-n/3+1}{2} + 18 \binom{k-4n/9+1}{2} + 3.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## References

- [1] B. M. Ábrego, S. Fernández-Merchant, J. Leaños, and G. Salazar. The maximum number of halving lines and the rectilinear crossing number of  $K_n$  for  $n \leq 27$ . Preprint (2007).
- [2] B. M. Ábrego, J. Balogh, S. Fernández-Merchant, J. Leaños, and G. Salazar. An extended lower bound on the number of  $(\leq k)$ -edges to generalized configurations of points and the pseudolinear crossing number of  $K_n$ . Submitted (2006).
- [3] B. M. Ábrego, J. Balogh, S. Fernández-Merchant, J. Leaños, and G. Salazar. On  $(\leq k)$ -pseudoedges in generalized configurations and the pseudolinear crossing number of  $K_n$ . Submitted (2006).
- [4] B. M. Ábrego and S. Fernández-Merchant. Geometric drawings of  $K_n$  with few crossings. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **114** (2007), 373-379.
- [5] B. M. Ábrego and S. Fernández-Merchant, A lower bound for the rectilinear crossing number, *Graphs and Comb.* **21** (2005), 293-300.
- [6] O. Aichholzer. On the rectilinear crossing number. Available online at <http://www.ist.tugraz.at/staff/aichholzer/crossings.html>.
- [7] O. Aichholzer, J. García, D. Orden, and P. Ramos, New lower bounds for the number of  $(\leq k)$ -edges and the rectilinear crossing number of  $K_n$ . Preprint (2006).
- [8] P. Brass, W. Moser and J. Pach, *Research Problems in Discrete Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005, chapter 8.
- [9] J. E. Goodman, R. Pollack, Proof of Grünbaum's conjecture on the stretchability of certain arrangements of pseudolines. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **29** (1980), no. 3, 385-390.
- [10] J. E. Goodman, R. Pollack, On the combinatorial classification of nondegenerate configurations in the plane, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **29** (1980), 220-235.
- [11] L. Lovász, K. Vesztergombi, U. Wagner, E. Welzl, Convex quadrilaterals and  $k$ -sets. In: Pach, J. editor: *Towards a theory of geometric graphs*, Contemporary Mathematics Series, **342**, AMS 2004, 139-148.